

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,523	12/02/2003	Paulo Marques	SP02-251 8280		
22928 75	590 12/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CORNING INCORPORATED SP-TI-3-1			BOLDEN, EL	BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A	
CORNING, N	Y 14831		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1755		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/728,523	MARQUES, PAULO		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Elizabeth A. Bolden	1755		
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRC - Extensions of time may be available under tafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing data - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p	M THE MAILING DA he provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. maximum statutory period we eriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(: ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
, <u> </u>	2b)⊠ This condition for allowan	aly 2005. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro fx parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	is/are withdraw wed. cted. cted to.	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
	is/are: a) ☐ acce at any objection to the o s) including the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/728,523

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a borosilicate glass, classified in class 501, subclass 66.
- II. Claims 7-13, drawn to a method of making a borosilicate glass, classified in class65, subclass 66.
- III. Claims 14-18, drawn to microfluidic device, classified in class 137, subclass 13.
- IV. Claims 17-24, drawn to a method of making a microfluidic device, classified in class 438, subclass 694.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the glass composition can be made by a material different process such as making the glass by sintering.

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a display screen and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,523

Art Unit: 1755

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not related since the glass composition is not made by the process of making the microfluidic device.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the method of making the borosilicate glasses is not related to the microfluidic device since the borosilicate glass can be made by several methods and the way the glass was made does not affect the microfluidic device.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the tow methods are used to make two different products and thus are unrelated. The method of Invention II is towards making a glass composition, wherein the method of Invention IV is toward the making of a microfluidic device.

Inventions IV and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made by a material different process such as Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP) the layers and the precursor material together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1755

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Examiner's Comment

If the Applicant selects Group I, the glass composition, if once an allowable product is reached the Examiner will consider rejoining the non-elected claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Bolden whose telephone number is 571-272-1363. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1 December 2005